LEGAL MAXIMS AND OTHER GENRES OF LITERATURE IN ISLAMIC JURISPRUDENCE

Mohammad Hashim Kamali*

Introductory Remarks

This essay introduces the legal maxims of fiqh (qawā'id kulliyya fiqhiyya) as a distinctive genre of figh literature side by side with three other related areas of development, namely al-d°awābiţ (rules controlling specific themes), al-furūq (distinctions and contrasts), and al-nazariyyāt al-fighiyya (general theories of figh). Developed at a later stage, these genres of figh literature seek, on the whole, to consolidate the vast and sometimes unmanageable juris corpus of figh into brief theoretical statements. They provide concise entries into their respective themes that help to facilitate the task of both the students and practioners of Islamic law. Legal maxims are on the whole inter-scholastic, and disagreement among the legal schools is negligible on them. Legal maxims also closely relate to the maqāṣid, and provide useful insights into the goals and purposes of Sharī'a (maqāṣid al-sharī'a), so much so that some authors have subsumed them under the maqāṣid. Yet, for reasons that will presently be explained, legal maxims represent a late development in the history of Islamic jurisprudence. The discussion which follows begins with introductory information on the basic concept and scope of legal maxims. This is followed by a more detailed account of the leading five maxims which the jurists have seen as representative of the entire field, saying that all the other maxims can be seen as a commentary on these five. The discussion continues by looking into the history of legal maxims, and then provides an account of their subsidiary themes, namely the dawābit, the furūq, the resemblances and similitudes (al-ashbāh wa'l $naz\bar{a}$ 'ir), and finally the $nazariyy\bar{a}t$.

There is a lacuna in the available English literature on Islamic law that cries to be filled. Except for a few cursory references in the works

^{*} The author is currently Professor of Islamic law and jurisprudence at the International Islamic University Malaysia. His numerous works on Islamic law include *Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence* (Cambridge, 1991; 3rd enhanced edn. 2003).

78

of Joseph Schacht and three other articles, the present writer has not seen any substantive coverage of legal maxims in the English language. Unlike the existing works in English that tend to be historical, the present essay focuses on a juridical coverage of legal maxims, and traces salient developments of its allied genres of literature. No one has, to the best of the present writer's knowledge, placed the legal theories of Islamic law (nazariyyāt) and the figh encyclopedias in context with the legal maxims. There is also a certain ambiguity and convergence in some of the existing works between gawā'id and the goals and objectives (maqāsid) of Sharī'a, both in the Arabic and English works, which call for clarification. This has also been attempted in our discussion below.

Legal maxims as a distinctive area of figh studies has gained considerable recognition, in recent decades, in the legal studies programmes of Islamic universities and institutions of higher learning. Many traditional Arabic texts on the subject have been published for the first time, and a number of modern works added, for basically two reasons. One is the somewhat excessive attention to detail in the figh texts and difficulty of access due to poor classification, and the refreshing contrast one finds in the synoptic summaries of legal principles in the qawā'id, especially for purposes of teaching. The other reason is that, unlike the wider figh literature that bears the vestiges of the imitative tradition of taglīd, legal maxims are not hampered by that factor as much. Taqlīd finds its foothold mainly in concretised detail, but, since legal maxims consist mainly of abstract ideas, they are not particularly affected by the legacy of taqlīd, and can thus be more readily utilised as aids in the renewal of figh and contemporary ijtihād (independent reasoning).

The renewed interest in legal maxims is also informed by a parallel revival of interest, among teachers and researchers of Sharī'a, in the maqāṣid al-Sharī'a, goals and objectives of Islamic law. Since legal maxims bear close affinity to the maqāṣid, they tend to provide an efficient entry into the understanding of the maqāṣid al-Sharīʿa.

¹ Joseph Schacht, An Introduction to Islamic Law, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1964, mentions Qawā'id Fiqhiyya in passing and refers to them in his glossary as "rules, the technical principles of positive law, subject of special works" (p. 114, 300); Wolfhart Heinrichs, "Structuring the Law: Remarks on the Furuq literature" in Ian Richard Netton (ed.), Studies in Honour of Clifford Edmund Bosworth. Vol. I: Hunter for the East: Arabic and Semetic Studies, Leiden: Brill, 2000, 332-344; Idem, "Qawā'id as a Genre of Legal Literature" in Bernard Weiss, ed., Studies in Islamic Legal Theory, Leiden: Brill 2002, 364-385. This last article is useful on bibliographic information, related Arabic terminology, as well as classification of legal maxims. A more recent addition is

The upsurge of interest in both the maqāṣid and legal maxims is underscored, in turn, by a certain awareness that the legal theory of usul alfigh has somehow fallen short of meeting the demands of contemporary ijtihād. Ever since Jamal al-Dīn al-Afghanni (d. 1898) and his disciple Muhammad 'Abduh's (d. 1905) clarion call for the revival of *iţtihād*, Muslim scholars have continued to emphasise the need for re-interpretation and reform of aspects of Sharī'a through *ijtihād*. The twentieth century marked a general disaffection with taqlīd, and witnessed the revival of ijtihād through statutory legislation, fatwā and juristic research by individuals, committees and institutions. Codification and partial reforms of the Islamic law of transactions in the renowned Ottoman Mejelle (1876) was followed, in the twentieth century, by legislative reforms of Sharī'a family law, and the revival in recent decades of the Sharī'a law of transactions (mu'āmalāt) in Islamic banking and finance. Yet, despite these achievements, and the rich experiences they have generated, Muslim scholars have spoken at length that the legal theory of uṣūl al-figh has not adequately responded to the demands of renewal and *ijtihād* in the era of statutory legislation. Questions have arisen, and explanations offered, yet the decades of Islamic resurgence and Islamisation of law and government have left Muslims with the impression that ijtihād has not become an engaging theme of legislation. The present writer has elsewhere addressed some of these issues, and it is not his purpose to delve into them here.2 The point to be made, however, is that the legal theory of uṣūl al-fiqh is now studied mainly as an academic discipline that falls short of meeting the demands of *ijtihād*, or of neo-*ijtihād*, as per the late Noel Coulson, in the era of statutory legislation. Muslim scholars have consequently taken greater interest in the study of the magāṣid al-Sharī'a, a somewhat neglected chapter that was not even included in the conventional coverage of usul alfigh, but which is now seen as a more dynamic tool of greater relevance to the concerns of itihad. This endorses further the renewed interest in the gawā'id, which bear a close affinity to the magāṣid al-Sharī'a.

Khaleel Mohammed, "The Islamic Law Maxims," Islamic Studies 44, no. 2 (1426/2005), 191-209.

² See Mohammad Hashim Kamali, "Sharī'ah and the Challenge of Modernity," *Journal of the Institute of Islamic Understanding Malaysia* (Kuala Lumpur) vol. I (1994), 1-27, reprinted in the *Islamic University Quarterly* (London) Vol. 2, no. 1 (1995), 10-37; Idem, "Fiqh and Adaptation to Social Reality," *The Muslim World*, 86 (1996), 62-85; "Methodological Issues in Islamic Jurisprudence," *ALQ* 11 (1996) 62-85; and "Issues in the Legal Theory of Usul and Prospects for Reform," *Islamic Studies* 41 (2001), 1-21.

Concept and Scope

Legal maxims are theoretical abstractions in the form, usually, of short epithetical statements that are expressive, often in a few words, of the goals and objectives of Sharī'a. They consist mainly of statements of principles that are derived from the detailed reading of the rules of figh on various themes. The figh has generally been developed by individual jurists in relationship to particular themes and issues in the course of history, and differs, in this sense, from modern statutory law rules, which are concise and devoid of detail. The detailed expositions of figh in turn enabled the jurists, at a later stage of development, to reduce them into abstract statements of principles. Legal maxims represent the culmination, in many ways, of cumulative progress which could not have been expected to take place at the formative stages of the development of figh. The actual wording of the maxims is occasionally taken from the Our'an or hadith, but are more often the work of leading jurists, that have subsequently been refined by other writers throughout the ages. It has often been a matter of currency and usage that the wording of certain maxims have been taken to greater refinement and perfection.

Unless they affirm and reiterate a ruling of the Qur'ān or Sunna, legal maxims as such do not bind the judge and jurist, but they do provide a persuasive source of influence in the formulation of judicial decisions and *ijtihād*. Legal maxims, like legal theories, are designed primarily for better understanding of their subject matter, rather than for enforcement. A legal maxim differs, however, from a legal theory in that the former is limited in scope, and does not seek to establish a theoretically self-contained framework over an entire discipline of learning. A theory of contract, or a constitutional theory, for example, is expected to offer a broad, coherent, and comprehensive entry into its theme. We may have, on the other hand, numerous legal maxims in each of these areas.

Legal maxims are of two types. Firstly, those which rehash or reiterate a particular text of the Qur'ān or Sunna, in which case they carry greater authority. "Hardship is to be alleviated—al-mashaqqa tajlub al-tasysīr", for example, is a legal maxim of fiqh which merely paraphrases parallel Qur'ānic dicta on the theme of removal of hardship (raf 'al-araj'). Another legal maxim which provides: "actions are judged by their underlying intentions (imnama al-a'māl bi al-niyyāt)" reiterates the exact wording of a renowned ḥadīth. In his Kītāb al-Ashbāh wa'l-Nazā'ir (resemblances and similitudes), which is a collection of legal maxims, Jalāl al-Din al-Suyūṭi (d. 911/1505) has, in numerous instances, identified the origin, whether the Qur'ān, Sunna or the precedent of Companions, of the legal maxims he has recorded.

The second variety of legal maxims are those which are formulated by the jurists. Despite the general tendency in legal maxims to be interscholastic, jurists and schools are not unanimous, and there are some on which the *madhāhib* have disagreed. Legal maxims such as "certainty may not be overruled by doubt" or "*ijtihād* does not apply in the presence of *naṣṣ*", or "preventing an evil takes priority over securing a benefit", or "absence of liability (i.e. innocence) is the normative state" are among the well-known maxims on which there is general agreement.

Legal maxims represent an important area of *fiqh* literature, as their study imparts strategic knowledge of their subject matter, and helps the reader gain insight into the general character and attributes of the Sharī^ca. They are particularly useful and inspiring in the vision they impart for the search particularly of new solutions through *ijtihād*.

Legal maxims are different from uṣūl al-fiqh (sources of figh) in that the maxims are based on the figh itself and represent rules and principles that are derived from the reading of the detailed rules of figh on various themes. The usul al-figh is concerned, on the other hand, with the sources of law, rules of interpretation, methodology of legal reasoning, meaning and implication of command and prohibition, and so forth. A maxim is defined as "a general rule which applies to all or most of its related particulars". This definition is attributed to Tāj al-Dīn al-Subki (d. 771/1370), which is generally adopted and followed by subsequent authors. Legal maxims are usually articulated in incisive literary style. It is due partly to the abstract and generalised terms of their language that legal maxims are hardly without some exception to which they do not apply even if their wording might suggest otherwise. Some would even say that legal maxims are in the nature of probabilities (aghlabiyya) that may or may not apply to cases to which they apparently apply. According to al-Shāṭibi (d. 790/1388), exceptions do not invalidate a general rule, because the stray particulars do not form a second general rule in opposition to the first.4 Some writers have noted, however, that in the legal field, a maxim is only predominantly valid, whereas in certain other fields, such as grammar and uṣūl al-figh, it is said to be generally valid almost as a matter of certainty. We shall presently note, however, that this is rather a weak opinion.

³ Cf. Tāj al-Dīn 'Abd al-Wahhāb al-Subki, *Al-Ashbah Wail-Nazāúir*, ed. 'Ali Ahmad Ibn 'Abd al-Mawjūd and 'Ali Muhammad 'Iwad. 2 Vol. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya, 1411/1999, 1,11. Subhi Mahmassani, *Falsafat al-Tashri*' *fil-Islam: The Philosophy of Jurisprudence in Islam.* Eng. Trans. Farhat J. Ziadeh, Leiden: E.J. Brill 1961, p. 151; Shaykh Muhammad al-Zarqa, *Sharh al-Qawāʿid al-Fiqhiyya*, 3rd edn. Damacus: Dar al-Qalam, 1414/1993, p. 33.

⁴ Abu Ishaq Ibrahim al-Shatābi, al-Muwafaqat fi Usul-Aḥkām, 11, 83-84.

It is due to their versatility and comprehensive language that legal maxims tend to encapsulate the broader concepts and characteristics of the Sharī'a. They tend to provide a bird's-eye-view of their subject matter in imaginative ways without engaging in burdensome details.

A legal maxim is reflective, in the meantime, of a consolidated reading of figh, and it is in this sense different from what is known as al-dabita (lit. a controller), which is somewhat limited in scope, and controls the particulars of a single theme or chapter of figh. Dābiṭa is thus confined to individual topics such as cleanliness (tahāra), maintenance (nafaga), paternity, and fosterage (al- $rid\bar{a}^c$), and as such does not apply to other subjects. An example of a dabita is: "marriage does not carry suspension"; and, with reference to cleanliness: "when the water reaches two feet, it does not carry dirt".5 An example of a legal maxim, on the other hand, is "the affairs of imam concerning his people are judged by reference to maşlaḥa" (amr al-imam fi shu'ūn al-ra'iyya manūt bi'l-maslaha), as the theme here is more general, without any specification of the affairs of the people or the activities of the imam. Similarly, when it is said, in another maxim, that "acts are judged by their underlying intentions", the subject is not specified, and it is, as such, a maxim $(q\bar{a}'ida)$, and not a *dabita* of a specified import. Having drawn a distinction between dabita and qā'ida, we note, however, that legal maxims also vary in respect of the level of abstraction, and the scope which they cover. Some legal maxims are of general import, whereas others might apply to a particular area of figh, such as the 'ibādāt, the mu'āmalat, contracts, litigation, court proceeding, and so forth. Some of the more specific maxims may qualify as a dabita rather than as a maxim proper, as the distinction between them is not always clear, nor regularly observed.

The Five Leading Maxims

The most comprehensive and broadly-based of all maxims are placed under the heading of "al-qawā'id al-fiqhiyya al-aṣliyya" or the normative legal maxims that apply to the entire range of figh without any specification, and the madhāhib are generally in agreement over them. Maxims such as "harm must be eliminated" (al-dararu yuzāl) (The Mejelle, Art. 20) and "acts

⁵ Cf. 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Sābūni, et al., al-Madkhal al-Fighi wa Tārīkh al-Tashri' al-Islami, Cairo: Maktaba Wahba, 1402/1982, p. 389.

are judged by their goals and purposes" (al-umūr bi-maqāṣidihā) (The Mejelle, Art. 2), belong to this category of maxims.

The early ulama have singled out five legal maxims as the most comprehensive of all, in that they encompass the essence of the *Sharī* 'a as a whole, and the rest are said to be simply an elaboration of these. Two of these have just been quoted. The other three:

- "Certainty is not overruled by doubt" (al-yaqīn lā yazūlu bi'l-shakk) (The Mejelle, Art. 4);
- "hardship begets facility" (al-mashaqqatu tajlub al-taysīyr) (The Mejelle, Art. 17);
- "custom is the basis of judgment" (al-'adatu muḥakkamtun) (The Mejelle, Art. 36).

Each of these will be discussed in some detail in the following pages. Yet, it will be noted in passing that reducing the number of legal maxims to a minimum has invoked criticism from al-Subki, who said that this cannot be done without engaging in artificiality and compromise. In this connection al-Subki is noted to have been particularly critical of 'Izz al-Din 'Abd al-Salām's (d. 660/1262) attempt to reduce the whole of the law to almost one principle, namely that "prevention of harm takes priority over securing of benefits—dar' al-mafāsid awlā min jalb al-manāfi". This kind of approach, according to al-Subki, simply ignores the specificity and character of the qawā'id.6

The first of the leading five maxims may be illustrated with reference to the state of ritual purity ($tah\bar{a}ra$). If a person has taken ablution ($wud\bar{u}$), and knows that with certainty, but doubt occurs to him later as to the continuity of his $wud\bar{u}$, the certainty prevails over doubt, and his $wud\bar{u}$ is deemed to be intact. According to another, but similar maxim, "knowledge that is based in certainty is to be differentiated from manifest knowledge that is based on probability only— $yufarraqu\ bayn\ al$ -'ilmi $idh\bar{a}\ thabata\ z\bar{a}hiran\ we\ baynahu\ idh\bar{a}\ thabata\ yaq\bar{v}nan$ ". For example, when the judge adjudicates on the basis of certainty, but later it appears that he might have erred in his judgment, if his initial decision is based on clear text and consensus, it would not be subjected to review on the basis of a mere probability. Similarly, a missing person ($mafq\bar{u}d$) of unknown whereabouts is presumed to be alive, as this is the certainty that is known about him

⁶ Cf. Heinrichs "Qawā'id as a Genre of Legal Literature," n. 1, 372.

⁷ Muhammad 'Amīm al-Ihsan al-Barikati. *Qawā'id al-Fiqh*, Dacca (Bangladesh): Zeeco Press, 1381/1961, pp. 142-143.

before his disappearance. The certainty here shall prevail, and no claim of his death would validate distribution of his assets among his heirs until his death is proven by clear evidence. A doubtful claim of his death is thus not allowed to overrule what is deemed to be certain.⁸

Other supplementary maxims of a more specified scope that are subsumed by the maxim under review include the following: "The norm (of Sharī'a) is that of non-liability" (al-aṣlu barā'at al-dhimma). This is an equivalent, although perhaps a more general one, to what is known as the presumption of innocence. This latter expression implies that it relates primarily to criminal procedure, whereas the non-liability maxim of figh also extends to civil litigation, and to religious matters generally. The normative state, or the state of certainty, for that matter, is that people are not liable, unless it is proven that they are, and until this proof is forthcoming, to attribute guilt to anyone is treated as doubtful. Certainty can, in other words, only be overruled by certainty, not by doubt. Another supplementary maxim here is the norm that presumes the continued validity of the status quo ante until we know there is a change: "The norm is that the status quo remains as it was before" (al-aṣlu baqā' mā kāna 'alā $m\bar{a}$ $k\bar{a}na$), and it would be presumed to continue unless it is proven to have changed. An example of this is the wife's right to maintenance which the Sharī'a has determined; when she claims that her husband failed to maintain her, her claim will command credibility. For the norm here is her continued entitlement to maintenance for as long as she remains married to him. Similarly, when one of the contracting parties claims that the contract was concluded under duress, and the other denies this, this latter claim will be upheld because absence of duress is the normal state, or status quo, which can only be rebutted by evidence. According to yet another supplementary maxim: "The norm in regard to things is that of permissibility" (al-aṣlu fi'l-ashyā' al-ibāḥah). Permissibility is, in other words, the natural state and will therefore prevail until there is evidence to warrant a departure from that position. This maxim is also based on the general reading of the relevant evidence of the Qur'an and Sunna. Thus, when we read in the Qur'an that God Most High "has created all that is in the earth for your benefit" (2:29), and also the hadith: "whatever God has made *halāl* is *halāl* and whatever that He rendered *haram* is *haram*, and whatever concerning which He has remained silent is forgiven"—the

⁸ Cf. Zarqā, Sharḥ al-Qawā'id, n. 1, p. 382.

⁹ Ṣābūni, al-Madkhal, n. 5, p. 389.

conclusion is drawn that we are allowed to utilize the resources of the earth for our benefit and, unless something is specifically declared forbidden, it is presumed to be permissible.

"Al-dararu yuzāl—harm must be eliminated" is a derivative, in turn, of the renowned hadith "la darara wa lā dirār—let there be no infliction of harm nor its reciprocation". This hadith has also been adopted into a legal maxim in precisely the same words as the hadith itself. A practical illustration of this hadith-cum-legal maxim is as follows: Suppose that someone opens a window in his house which violates the privacy of his neighbour, especially that of its female inhabitants. This is a harmful act which should not have been attempted in the first place, and may call for legal action and remedy. But, it would be contrary to the maxim under review for the neighbour to reciprocate the harmful act by opening a window in his own property that similarly violates the privacy of the first neighbour.

A similar manifestation of the maxim "al-dararu yuzāl—harm must be eliminated" is the validation of the option of defect (khiyār al-'ayb) in Islamic law, which is designed to protect the buyer against harm. Thus, when a person buys a car and then discovers that it is substantially defective, he has the option to revoke the contract. For there is a legal presumption under the Sharī'a that the buyer concluded the contract on condition that the object of the sale was not defective.

The hadith of "lā darar" has given rise to a number of additional maxims on the subject of darar. To quote but a few, it is provided in a maxim: "A greater darar is eliminated by (tolerating) a lesser one—al-darar al-ashadd yuzālu bi'l-darar al-akhaff." For example, the law permits compelling the debtor, or one who is responsible to support a close relative, to fulfill their obligations, and give what they must, even if it means inflicting some hardship on them. According to another maxim, "harm may not be eliminated by its equivalent—al-darar la yuzālu bi-mithilih" (The Mejelle, Art. 25). This may also be illustrated by the example we just gave of "la darara wa lā dirār".

Another maxim on *darar* has it that "harm cannot establish a precedent—*al-ḍararu lā yakūnu qadīman*." Lapse of time, in other words, cannot justify tolerance of a *ḍarar*. For example, waste disposal that pollutes a public passage should be stopped regardless as to how long it has been

¹⁰ The Mejelle: Being an English Translation of Majallah el-Ahkam el-Adliya, trans. C.R. Tyser, reprint, Lahore, Law Publishing Co. 1967, Art. (19).

tolerated. And then, also, that "harm is to be eliminated within reasonable bounds—al-darar yudfa'u bi-qadr al-imkān." For example, if a thief can be stopped by the blow of a stick, striking him with a sword should not be used to attempt to obstruct him. According to yet another maxim, "harm to an individual is tolerated in order to prevent a harm to the public—yuta hammalu al-darar al-khāṣ li-daf' al-darar al-ām" (The Mejelle, Art. 26). For example, the law permits interdiction on an adult and competent person, including an ignorant physician, or a fraudulent lawyer, in order to protect the public, notwithstanding the harm this might inflict on such individuals

It is stated in the Mejelle that legal maxims are designed to facilitate a better understanding of the Sharī'a, and the judge may not base his judgment on them unless the maxim in question is derived from the Qur'ān or Hadith, or supported by other evidence.¹² This is in contrast, however, with the view of Shihāb al-Dīn al-Qarāfi (d. 682/1281), who held that a judicial decision is reversible if it violates a generally accepted maxim. 13 The ulama have generally considered the maxims of figh to be significantly conducive to *ijtihād*, and they may naturally be utilized by the judge and mujtahid as persuasive evidence; it is just that they are broad guidelines, whereas judicial orders need to be founded in specific evidence that is directly relevant to the subject of adjudication. Since most of the legal maxims are expounded in the form of generalised statements, they hardly apply in an exclusive sense, and often admit exceptions and particularisation. Instances of this were often noted by the jurists, especially in cases where a particular legal maxim failed to apply to a situation that evidently fell within its ambit, who then sometimes attempted to formulate a subsidiary maxim to cover those particular cases.

Legal maxims were developed gradually, and the history of their development in a general sense is parallel with that of the *fiqh* itself. More specifically, however, these were developed mainly during the era of imitation $(taql\bar{\iota}d)$, as they are in the nature of an extraction $(takhr\bar{\imath}j)$ of guidelines from the detailed literature of fiqh that were contributed during the first three centuries of Islamic scholarship, known as the era of $jith\bar{\iota}d.$

¹¹ See also 'Amīm al-Barikati, *Qawā'id al-Fiqh* n. 7, p. 88 and 139.

¹² Cf. Mahmassani, Falsafat, n. 1, p. 152; Zarqā n. 1, Sharḥ al-Qawā'id, p. 34.

¹³ Shihāb al-Dīn al-Qarāfi, Kitab al-Furuq, Cairo: Maṭbaʿa Dar al-Ihyʾ al-Kutub al-ʿArabiyyam 1386H, vol. 4, p. 40; see also Jamal al-Dīn ʿAṭiyya, al-Tanzīr al-Fiqhi, Doha (Qatar) n.d., 1407/1989, p. 208.

¹⁴ Cf. Ṣābūni, al-Madkhal, n. 5 p. 398.

The hadith of $l\bar{a}$ $dar\bar{a}r$ has also been used as the basic authority for legal maxims on the subject of necessity $(dar\bar{u}ra)$. I refer here to only two, the first of which proclaims that "necessity makes the unlawful lawful" $(al-dar\bar{u}r\bar{a}t\ tub\bar{t}h\ al-mahz\bar{u}r\bar{a}t)$.¹⁵ It is on this basis that the jurists validate the demolition of an intervening house in order to prevent the spread of fire to adjacent buildings, just as they validate dumping of the cargo of an overloaded ship in order to prevent danger (or darar) to the lives of its passengers. Another maxim on necessity declares that "necessity is measured in accordance with its true proportions" $(al-dar\bar{u}rat\ tuqdaru\ bi-qadrih\bar{a})$. Thus, if the court orders the sale of the assets of a negligent debtor in order to pay his creditors, it must begin with the sale of his movable goods—if this would suffice to clear the debts—before ordering the sale of his real property.¹⁶

The maxim "hardship begets facility" (al-mashaqqatu tajlub al-taysīr) is, in turn, a rehash of the Qur'anic ayat: "God intends for you ease and He does not intend to put you in hardship" (2:185), and "God does not intend to inflict hardship on you" (5:6), a theme which also occurs in a number of hadiths. The jurists have utilised this evidence in support of the many concessions that are granted to the disabled and the sick in the sphere of religious duties, as well as civil transactions. With reference to the option of stipulation (khiyār al-shart), for example, there is a hadith which validates such an option for three days, that is, if the buyer wishes to reserve for himself this amount of time before ratifying a sale. The jurists have then reasoned that this period may be extended to weeks, or even months, depending on the type of goods that are bought, and the need of the buyer, who may need a longer period for investigation. According to another, but still related, legal maxim "idhā dāq al-amru ittasa'a—an opening must be found when matters become exceedingly difficult". For example, a debtor who accedes to his obligation, but is unable to pay, must be given time, if this would enable him to clear his debt. The same logic would validate, on the other hand, killing a violent thief if a lesser threat or action is not likely to put a stop to his evil. The judge may likewise admit the best available witnesses, even if some doubt as to their uprightness ('adālah) persists, if this is deemed to facilitate justice in stressful situations. The maxim under review is also related to the

¹⁵ The Mejelle n. 10, (Art. 17); see also Zarqā, Sharḥ al-Qawā'id, n. 3, p. 157.

¹⁶ Cf. al-Ṣābūni, al-Madkhal, n. 5 p. 100.

subject of necessity, and its leading maxim, as quoted above, that "necessity makes the unlawful lawful".17

The maxim "al-umūr bi-maqāsidihā—acts are judged by their goals and purposes" is also a rehash of the renowned hadith "acts are valued in accordance with their underlying intentions" (innama al-a'māl bil-niyyāt). This is a comprehensive maxim with wide implications that the ulama have discussed in various areas, including devotional matters, commercial transactions, and crimes. The element of intent often plays a crucial role in differentiating, for example, a murder from an erroneous killing, theft from an inculpable appropriation of property, and the figurative words that a husband may utter in order to conclude the occurrence, or otherwise, of a divorce. To give another example, when someone takes possession of the lost property of another (al-luqta), he could qualify either as a trustee (amīn) if he intends to return it to its owner, or as a usurper (ghāsib) if he intends to unlawfully keep it. Similarly, when a person lays a net, or digs a pit, in his own property, and a bird or animal is consequently caught, the game would belong to him if he intended to hunt, but if the net was laid in order to prevent entry, or the pit was intended for some drainage purposes, then the game caught therein is not presumed to have fallen into his ownership, and it would consequently be lawful for others to take.¹⁸

The maxim "custom is the basis of judgment" is again based on the statement of the Companion, Abdullah Ibn Mas'ūd: "what the Muslims deem to be good is good in the eyes of God". This is sometimes identified as an elevated (marfu^c) hadith, as the Prophet had, himself, on numerous occasions upheld customary practices of the Arabian society. The court is accordingly authorised to base its judgment on custom in matters which are not regulated by the text, provided, that the custom at issue is current, predominant among people, and is not in conflict with the principles of Sharī'a. A custom which runs contrary to Sharī'a, and reason, is therefore precluded. Several other subsidiary maxims have been derived from this, including the one which proclaims "what is determined by custom is tantamount to a contractual stipulation" (al-ma'ruf 'urfan ka'l-mashrūṭ shartan) (The Mejelle, Art. 42). Thus, when the contract does not regulate a matter which is otherwise regulated by custom, the customary rule would be presumed to apply. Similarly, when someone rents a house or

¹⁷ Cf. Zarqā, Sharḥ al-Qawā'id, n. 3, pp. 163-64.

¹⁸ Id., p. 49.

a car, he should use it according to what is customary and familiar, even if the detailed manner of its use is not regulated in the contract. To give yet another example, when the father of a bride gives her a wedding gift of, say, a set of furniture, and later claims that it was a temporary loan ('āriya), and not a gift (hibba), and there is no evidence to prove the claim, credibility would be given to the prevailing custom. If it is found that the father customarily gave such items as gifts on such occasions, it would be counted as a gift, even if the father claimed otherwise.¹⁹

A general custom of unrestricted application qualifies as a basis of judgment and many jurists have accorded the same value to customs that are confined to a particular area and locality. Technically, however, only the general custom has the strength to take priority over normal rules, or the rulings of analogy $(qiy\bar{a}s)$.²⁰ Custom has thus validated the plucking of ripened fruit that is likely to go to waste, should there be no impediment, and no one is there to collect it. This is contrary to normal rules, which do not permit taking the property of others. Similarly, people tend to weigh and measure goods and commodities differently in different places, and customary practices concerning them will be recognised by the courts in the locality concerned, even if such practices happen to be contrary to normal rules.²¹

According to a parallel, although slightly differently worded, legal maxim, "the usage of people is a proof that must be followed—istimāl al-nāsi hujjatun yajib al-amalu bihā". 22 The word 'istimāl' in this maxim is synonymous with 'ādah and this maxim is said to contemplate linguistic usages that concern the meaning of words, whether literal, methaphorical, judicial, etc. Which of these meanings, if any, should prevail in the event of a conflict arising between them is of concern to this maxim. The first of the two maxims under review, (i.e., al-ʿādatu muḥakkamatun), is thus concerned with actual practices, whereas the second mainly relates to the linguistic usages of words and their meanings. According to yet another supplementary maxim, "the literal is abandoned in favour of the customary—al-ḥaqāqatu tutraku bi-dalālat al-ʿādah" (The Mejelle, Art. 40). For example, when someone takes an oath that he will never "set foot" in so-and-so's house, but then he only technically sets his foot in that house

 $^{^{19}}$ Cf. Zarqā, Sharh al-Qawāʿid, n. 3, p. 238; al-Barikati, Qawāʿid, n. 7, p. 125.

²⁰ See for detail M.H. Kamali, *Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence*, Cambridge: The Islamic Text Society, 3rd revised and enlarged ed., 2003. Ch. 14 on Custom, 369-384.

²¹ Cf. Zarqā, Sharḥ al-Qawā'id, n. 1, p. 221.

 $^{^{22}}$ The Mejelle, n. 10, (Art. 37).

without entering into it, he will not be liable to an expiation (kaffāra) for breaking an oath. This is because, customarily, the expression means entering the house, and not the literal meaning that it conveys.²³

The maxim which declares "profit follows responsibility" (al-kharāj bil-damān)²⁴ is a direct rendering of a hadith in those identical words. Thus, the yield of trees and animals, etc., belongs to those who are responsible for their upkeep and maintenance. Suppose that person A, who has bought a machine, decides to return it to the seller when he finds it to be defective. Suppose, also, that the machine has yielded profit during the interval when it was with A. Does A have to return to the seller the profit he made through the use of the machine? By applying the legal maxim before us, the answer is that A may keep the profit, as the machine was his responsibility during the interval, and he would have been responsible for its destruction and loss before he returned it to the seller.²⁵

The maxim that a ruling of "ijtihād is not reversed by its equivalent" (al-ijtihād la yunqad bi-mithlih)²⁶ has, in turn, been attributed to a statement of the Caliph 'Umar Ibn al-Khattāb, which is also upheld by the consensus of the Companions. Supposing a judge has adjudicated a dispute on the basis of his own ijtihad, that is, in the absence of a clear text to determine the issue. Then he retires, and another judge, whether of the same rank or at the appellate level, looks into the case, and the latter's ijtihād leads him to a different conclusion on the same issue. Provided that the initial decision does not violate any of the rules that govern the propriety of ijtihād a mere difference of opinion on the part of the new judge, or a different *ijtihād* he might have attempted, does not affect the authority of the initial *ijtihād*, simply because one ruling of *ijtihād* is not reversible by another ruling of ijtihād. It is further noted that the Caliph 'Umar had ruled, in one or two similar cases, contrary to what his predecessor Abu Bakr had done, but he did not attempt to declare Abu Bakr's ruling invalid, on the analysis that his own ijtihād was not necessarily better than that of Abu Bakr.²⁷

²³ Cf. Kamali, Jurisprudence, p. 373.

²⁴ The Mejelle, n. 10, (Art. 85). Another substantially similar maxim, albeit in different words, is al-ghanamu bi'l-ghanam-liability for loss proceeds from one's entitlement to profit." Cf. al-Barikati, Qawā'id al-Fiqh, n. 7, p. 94.

²⁵ Cf. Zarqā, Sharḥ al-Qawā'id, n. 3, p. 429.

²⁶ The Mejelle no. 10, (Art. 16).

²⁷ Cf. al-Barikati, *Qawā'id al-Fiqh*, n. 7, p. 56.

A Brief History of Legal Maxims

Historically, the Hanafi jurists were the first to formulate legal maxims. An early Iraqi jurist, Sufyān Ibn Ṭāhir al-Dabbās al-Qāḍi, a contemporary of al-Karkhi, collated the first seventeen maxims, and Abu al-Hassan 'Ubayd Allah Ibn al-Husayn al-Karkhi (d. 340/952) increased this to 39. Al-Karkhi's work, entitled *Uṣūl al-Karkhi*, is regarded as an authoritative precursor on the subject among the Hanafis, although some scholars regard it as a work in the genre of uṣūl al-fiqh—as might have been suggested by its title. A more relevant explanation for that title was probably the fact that every one of the 39 legal maxims in it was identified as an aşl (pl. $u s \bar{u} l$). To avoid ambiguity in the use of this term, it will be noted that așl carries three meanings: 1) a source of law; 2) a legal principle that covers numerous individual cases; 3) an act that has already been determined and now serves as a model for similar cases. Whereas the basic corpus of figh and uṣūl al-figh were developed in roughly the first four centuries of Islam, a marked resurgence of interest in the qawā'id is noted from the eight century A.H. onward, which ushered in the ulama efforts to extract general rules by way of induction from the legal manuals of the madhāhib. Al-Karkhi's collection began by recording the first asl (norm): "What is proven with certainty may not be overruled by doubt", and it ended with the așl that "explanation to a speech is credible for as long as it is given at a time when it can be considered valid, but not otherwise" (al-aṣlu ann'l-bayān yu'tabaru bil-ibtidā', in saḥḥa al-ibtidā', wa illā fa-lā). This may be illustrated as follows: suppose a man divorces two of his wives in a single pronouncement and address such as: "you are both divorced." Later, he elaborates that he only meant that one of them be divorced by triple *ţalāq*. This explanation will be credible only during the probation period of 'idda, but it will not carry any weight if it is given after that period.²⁸ Some of the early maxims that were compiled also included the following: "The norm is that the affairs of Muslims are presumed to be upright and good unless the opposite emerges to be the case". What it means is that acts, transactions, and relations among people should not be given a negative interpretation that verges on suspicion and mistrust, unless there is evidence to suggest the opposite.

²⁸ Al-Barikati, *Qawā'id al-Fiqh*, n. 7, p. 65; see also Abd al-Wahhab Ibrahim Abu Sylayman. *Kitābat al-Bahth al-ʿIlmi Wa Maṣādir al-Dirasat al-Fiqhiyya*, Jeddah: Dar al-Shuruq, 1403/1983, vol. 2, p. 652.

Another maxim has it that "question and answer proceed on that which is widespread and common and not on what is unfamiliar and rare". Once again, if we were to interpret a speech and enquire into its implications, we should proceed on that which is widespread and commonly understood, as opposed to what might be said to be a rare understanding and interpretation. Another maxim, to which a reference has already been made, has it that "prevention of harm takes priority over the attraction of benefit" (dur' al-mafāsid awlā min jalb al-manāfi'). The earliest collection of maxims also included the five leading maxims that were discussed above.²⁹

Al-Karkhi's collection, which is one of the earliest on record, is not necessarily articulated in the incisive and eloquent style that is typically associated with maxims.³⁰ Many scholars from various schools added to these over time, and the total number of qawā'id and dawābit eventually exceeded 1200.

Next to the Hanafis, the Shāfi'is, and then following them, the Hanbalis, then the Mālikis, in this order, as al-Zarqā has noted, added their contributions to the literature on legal maxims. The leading Shāfi'i scholar, 'Izz al-Dīn 'Abd al-Sulami's (d. 660/1262), Qawā'id al-Ahkām fi Maṣāli al-Anām, is noted as one of the salient contributions to this field, and so is 'Abd al-Rahmān ibn Rajab al-Hanbali's (d. 795/1393) work Tagrīr Al-Qawā'id wa Tahrīr al-Fawā'id, both of which have been highly acclaimed. Yet, in terms of conciseness and style, the Mejelle Ahkam Adliyye, an Islamic law code, written by a group of Turkish scholars under the supervision of Ahmed Cevdet Pasha (d. 1895) the then Minister of Justice in the 1870s, is said to represent the most advanced stage in the compilation of legal maxims. The introductory section of the Mejelle only records ninetynine legal maxims, which have in turn been elaborated in many other works. One such work was authored by Muhammad al-Zarqā, bearing the title Sharh al-Qawā'id al-Fighiyya (1403/1983). The son of this author, and also his commentator, Mustafā al-Zarqā, has noted, however, that the Mejelle selection does not necessarily represent a self-contained collection of all the leading maxims. Whereas many fall in that category, there are some which are decidedly subsidiary. The Mejelle selection is also not systematic, in that maxims which relate to one another do not appear in clusters, but tend to appear on a stand-alone kind of arrangement.³¹

²⁹ Cf. al-Brikati, *Qawā'id al-Fiqh*, n. 7, p. 56.

³⁰ Cf. 'Atiyya, al-Tanzīr, n. 13, p. 18; sabuni, al-Madkhal, n. 2, p. 387.

³¹ Cf. Zarqā, Sharḥ al-Qawā'id, n. 3, pp. 43-44.

The development of this branch of *fiqh* is in many ways related to the general awareness of the ulama over the somewhat piecemeal and fragmented style of the *fiqh* literature which, somewhat like the Roman juristic writings, is on the whole issue-oriented, and short of theoretical exposition of the governing principles. This is related, in turn, to the fact that *fiqh* was mainly developed by private jurists who were not acting on behalf of governments and institutions that might have exerted a unifying influence. They wrote often in response to issues as and when encountered, and we consequently note that theoretical abstraction was not a well-developed feature of their works. The legal maxims filled that gap to some extent, and provided a set of general guidelines in an otherwise diverse discipline that combined an impressive variety of schools and influences into its fold.

Islamic jurisprudence is also textualist, as it is guided by the textual injunctions of the Qur³ān and Sunnah. In developing the law, the jurists have shown the tendency to confine the range of their expositions to the given terms of the text. The theoretical generalisation of ideas was generally viewed with caution vis-à-vis the overriding authority of the text, and attention was focused on the correct interpretation of the text, rather than on developing general theories. Questions are being asked to this day whether Islamic law has a constitutional theory, a theory of contract, or a theory of ownership.

It is only in recent times that Muslim scholars began to write concise, yet self-contained, expositions of the law in these areas, as I shall presently explain, but first, I turn to *al-ashbāh wa'l-nazā'ir*.

Resemblances and Similitudes (al-Ashbāh wa'l-Nazā'ir)

This genre of literature emerged in the writings of the ulama well after the formation of the *madhāhib*. The term evidently originated in the renowned letter of the Caliph 'Umar al-Khaṭṭāb addressed to judge Abū Mūsa al-Ash'ari of Baṣra in which the latter was instructed to "ascertain resemblances and similitudes and adduce matters to their likes in giving judgment". The term *al-ashbāh wa'l-nazā'ir* was later chosen by Tāj al-Dīn al-Subki, who wrote an important work on legal maxims, as the title of that book. Jalāl al-Dīn al-Suyuṭi (d. 911/1505) and Zayn al-ʿābidin Ibn Nujaym al-Hanafi (d. 970/1563) also wrote works that closely resembled one another, both bearing the title *al-ashbāh wa'l-nazā'ir*; they relied mainly

94

on al-Subki's writings, with certain modifications that were reflective, perhaps, of their respective scholastic orientations. At the beginning of every maxim that he discussed, Al-Suyuṭi identified the source evidence from which the maxim was derived and then added illustration and analysis. Al-Suyuṭi devoted the first chapter of his al-Ashbāh wa'l-Nazā'ir to the five leading maxims, and the fiqhi issues to which they applied. Then he discussed, in the second chapter, forty other maxims of a more specific type that are derived from the first five. Another chapter in that work is devoted to a selection of the most useful and recurrent maxims in the works of fiqh, and yet another chapter discussed maxims on which the jurists were in disagreement. The next two chapters in al-Suyuṭi's work put together clusters of maxims that related to one another, and those that resembled one another in some way. The last chapter added miscellaneous maxims that are not classified in any manner.³²

Ibn Nujaym divided the legal maxims into two categories of normative or leading maxims, and subsidiary maxims. He only placed six under the former, and nineteen under the latter, but discussed a number of other subsidiary rules and maxims of *fiqh* in his detailed elaboration and analysis. The sixth leading maxim of Ibn Nujaym that he added to the familiar five, as reviewed above, was that "no spiritual reward accrues without intention" ($l\bar{a}$ thawāb illā bi'l-niyya), which is why the ritual prayer, and most other acts of devotion, are preceded by a statement of intention, or niyya.³⁶ The introductory part of the Ottoman Mejelle, compiled

³² Cf. Abu-Sulaymān, Kītābat al-Baḥth al-Ilmi, n. 28, vol. 2, p. 677.

³³ The Mejelle n. 10, (Art. 58).

³⁴ Id., (Art. 66).

³⁵ Id., (Art. 47). See also Zarqā, Sharḥ al-Qawā'id. n. 3, p. 253.

³⁶ Zayn al-'Abidin Ibrahim Ibn Nujaym, al-Ashbāh wa'l-Nazā'ir, ed. 'Abd al-'Aziz Muhammad al-Wakil, Cairo: Mu'assasa al-Halabi li'l-Nashr wa'l-Tawzi', 1387/1968, p. 67f.

in the 1870s, which contains ninety-nine legal maxims, was mainly derived from *Al-Ashbāh Wa'l-Nazā'ir of Ibn Nujaym*.

Despite the general tendency in legal maxims to be inter-scholastic, jurists and schools are not unanimous on all of them, but the differences between schools in this area are not very wide. The Ja'fari school of Shī'a has its own collection of legal maxims, yet, notwithstanding some differences of style, the thematic arrangement of the Shi'i collection resembles closely to those of their Sunni counterparts. The first Shi'i work on maxims was that of 'Allāma Ibn Muṭahhar al-Ḥilli (d. 771/1369), entitled Al-Qawā'id, followed by al-Shahīd al-Awwal Shams al-Din al-'Āmili's (d. 782/1389) Al-Qawā'id wa'l-Fawā'id, which compiled over 300 maxims, and many more works that elaborated and enhanced the earlier ones. The more recent work of Muhammad al-Husayn Kāshif al-Ghiṭā', bearing the title Taḥrīr al-Mujalla, is an abridgment and commentary on the Ottoman Mejelle. In this work, the author has commented on the first 99 articles of the Mejelle, out of which he selected 45 as being the most important in the range, and the rest he found to be overlapping and convergent or obscure, but he added 82 others to make up a total 127 maxims of current application and relevance, especially to transactions and contracts. Al-Ghita' went on to say, however, that "if we were to recount all the maxims that are referred to in the various chapters of figh, we can add up to five hundred or more."37

The Discordances (al-Furūq)

Other developments of interest in the fiqh literature that relate to the qawā'id are the discordances (al-furūq), which occur in almost the opposite direction to that of al-ashbāh wa'-nazā'ir. As the word indicates, the furūq highlights differences between seemingly similar concepts, or those which have an aspect in common. The attempt to highlight such differences in the substantive juris corpus of fiqh was also extended to the maxims, in that the furūq literature specified the differences between some of the maxims that resembled one another, but could subtly be distinguished in some respect. The Māliki jurist Shihāb al-Dīn Ahmad b. Idrīs al-Qarafi's (d. 682/1281) Kītāb al-Furūq has discussed 548 maxims, and 274 differences

³⁷ Muhammad al-Husayn Kāshif al-Ghiṭā, *Taḥrīr al-Mujalla*, Najaf, 1359, p. 63; Jamal al-Din 'Atiyya, *al-Tanzīr al-Fiqhi*, n. 13, p. 1407/1987, p. 75; Ṣābuni, *Madkhal*, n. 5, p. 39.

(furūq) in this light, and it focuses on distinctions and differences between similar themes and ideas. Occasionally the word qawā'id is used in reference to what is a dābiṭa or even a specific ruling of fiqh. Al-Qarāfi often poses questions as to the differences between two maxims that address similar themes but which involve subtle variations. He also explains the subjects of his enquiry by referring to their opposites, as he believes that this is often a very effective way of highlighting the merits or demerits of particular ideas and maxims. His work is generally regarded as one of the best in the field.³⁸ Al-Zarqā has noted, however, that al-Furūq is not, strictly speaking, confined to legal maxims. This is because the book is dominated by comparisons and contrasts, and engages in the explanation of basic fiqh themes and issues in a way that almost puts the work in the general category of fiqh, rather than the maxims of fiqh, which is a separate branch of fiqh in its own right.³⁹

Examples of the furūq includes the distinctions between ijārah and sale, between custody (hadānah) and guardianship (wilāyah), between testimony (shahāda) and narration (riwāyah), between verbal custom and actual custom (al-'wrf al-qawli, al-'urf al-fi'li) and so forth; these are often expressed in rule-like statements that generally resemble dābiṭas, as they apply to specific themes, but named al-furūq, as they usually compare similar themes, and highlight the differences between them. Al-Qarafi's approach represented a new development in the qawā'id literature. He has also discussed legal maxims in his other works, namely Al-Dhakhīra, but more specifically in Al-Ihkām fi Tamyīz al-Fatāwa 'an al-Aḥkām. This title itself is, it may be noted, furūq-oriented, as it refers to differences between fatāwa and judicial decisions. Ibn al-Shāṭ Qāsim bin 'Abd Allah al-Anṣari's (d. 723/1323) work, Idrār al-Shurūq 'alā Anwār al-Furūq is also a work on furūq, and smaller works of similar kind were also written by some Sḥāfi'i scholars. 40

Theories of Fiqh (Nazariyyāt al-Fiqhiyya) and Encyclopedias

The next development that may briefly be explained is relatively recent, and appears in the modern writings of *fiqh* under the general designation *al-nazariyyāt al-fiqhhiyya*, or legal theories of *fiqh*. *Nazariyya* in this context implies a self-contained and comprehensive treatment of an important

³⁸ Cf. Abu-Sulaymān, *Kītābat al-Baḥth*, n. 8, vol. II, p. 660.

³⁹ Zarqā, Sharḥ al-Qawā'id, n. 3, p. 42.

⁴⁰ See for details 'Atiyya, al-Tanzīr, n. 13, pp. 131-32.

area of the law, such as nazariyyat al-darūra (theory of necessity), nazariyyat al-milkiyyah (theory of ownership), nazariyyat al-'aqd (theory of contract), and so forth. This level of theoretical development marks a departure from the earlier style of juristic writing in fiqh, where topics were poorly classified, and themes pertaining to a particular area were scattered in different places. The nazariyyāt literature seeks to overcome that, and offer a systematic treatment of its subject matter that aims to be self-contained and convenient to use.

The *nazariyyāt* literature draws upon the combined resources of *fiqh* in all areas, including the *qawā'id*, the *ḍawābiṭ* and the *furūq*. Yet, the *nazariyyāt* are usually not expected to reproduce the detailed formulation of these related branches, as theory-oriented works generally seek to be concise, and clear of repetition and unnecessary detail; it also incorporates new methods of writing and research which are more effective and less time-consuming.

The nazariyyāt literature not only aims at improved forms and methods of writing, but often seeks to advance and develop some of the substantive aspects of the figh doctrines. With regard to the law of contract, for example, 'Abd al-Razzāq al-Sanhūri (d. 1969) has observed that the figh literature in this area is focused on the detailed exposition of a number of nominate contracts, and treats each contract separately. The Hanafi jurist 'Alauddīn al-Kāsāni (d. 589/1198) has thus dealt with nineteen nominate contracts, many of which have aspects in common, and, of course, they also differ in other respects. A perusal of the relevant literature of figh on contracts, al-Sanhuri noted, leaves the reader questioning (a), whether these could all be consolidated in order to highlight the features they all have in common; (b), whether the figh validates contracts other than these; and (c), whether the figh recognises the basic freedom of contract on the basis merely of an agreement which does not violate morality and public interest.⁴¹ Questions of this nature are likely to be addressed in the nazariyyāt literature, which is better consolidated, and encompasses salient developments of interest to the subject.

The *nazariyyāt* literature is not entirely without precedent in the *fiqh* works. With reference to the theory of contract, for example, we may note that significant progress had been made by the Hanbali ulama, Ibn Taymiyya (d. 728/1348) and his disciple, Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya, whose

⁴¹ 'Abd al-Razzaq al-Sanhuri, *Maṣādir al-Ḥaq fi'l Fiqh al-Islami*, Cairo: *Maʿhad al-Buḥūth wa'l Dirāsāt al-ʿArabiyya*, 167, vol. 1, p. 78. see also Ṣābuni, *Madkhal*, n. 5, p. 380.

98

contributions are widely acknowledged. Ibn Taymiyya effectively departed from the earlier strictures over the nominate contracts, and advanced a convincing discourse, through his own reading of the source evidence, that contracts need not be confined to a particular prototype or number. 42 The essence of all contracts is manifested in the agreement of the contracting parties, who may create new contracts, within or outside the ones that are already known, provided that they serve a lawful benefit and do not violate public policy and morals. It may be noted, however, that Ibn Taymiyya's contribution to the theory of contract represented rather a late development and a departure, in many ways, from the majority position on this theme, which is why al-Sanhuri's critique may still be considered relevant. Ibn Taymiyya also wrote a book on legal maxims entitled, al-Qawā'id al-Nurāniyya, which treats the subject in an interesting way by looking at the legal maxims under the main chapters of figh. The book thus devotes sections to cleanliness (al-tahāra), prayers, zakah, fasting, the hajj, and then to contracts and financial transactions, followed by sections on matrimony, etc., and discusses the relevant legal maxims under each heading. These are followed in each part by subsidiary rules (dawābiṭ) and disagreements, if any, that may exist concerning them, as well as the author's own views and suggested solutions to such disagreements.⁴³

To pursue our discussion of the nazariyyāt, it may be added that considerable progress has been made, in the sphere of nazariyyāt literature, not only in al-Sanhuri's writings, but by numerous other scholars, both Arab and non-Arab, who have written widely on contracts and other major themes of figh.

Many works in this category are now available on various topics of figh, bearing such titles as Nazariyyat al-Ithbāt fi'l-Figh al-Islami (standards of proof, or the theory of proof, in Islamic law), Nazariyyat al-Milkiyya (theory of ownership), Nazariyyat al-'Aqd (theory of contract), and so forth. Works of recent origin on the constitutional theory that offer self-contained expositions of the subject bear such alternative titles as Nizam al-Hukm fi'l-Islam (the Islamic system of government), and Usūl al-Hukm fi'l-Islam (principles of government in Islam), which are, in fact, the more recent variations of the genre of literature that appeared under the general heading al-Ahkam al-Sulţāniyya. The choice and wording of title usually gives some indication as to the scope and relevance of the work to nazariyyāt.

⁴² Much to his credit, the manual that Ibn Taymiyya wrote on the subject actually bore the title Nazariyyat al-Aqd (Theory of Contract).

⁴³ Cf. Abu-Sulaymān, *Kitābat al-Baḥth*, n. 28, vol. II, p. 678.

One should also note, in this context, the emergence of the encyclopedias of figh in the latter part of the twentieth century, which marked a milestone of development, and succeeded in producing consolidated and reliable works of reference on figh, and these efforts are still continuing. A number of figh encyclopedias have been published bearing the familiar title al-mawsu'a al-fighiyya. Egypt, Kuwait, Syria and other countries embarked on compiling encyclopedic works on figh during the latter part of the 20th Century. The Kuwait Encyclopedia of figh started in late 1970's, has to date been published in over 40 volumes, and is nearing completion. The Egyptian counterpart on this started earlier, in the 1950's, and that, too, has appeared in over 30 volumes. Syria's started at around the same time as Egypt's, but it was not as extensive. The one that is published by the Ministry of Awqaf of Kuwait is more systematic, and easier to use. Almost all the alphabetical figh titles are treated under the doctrines, not only of the four Sunni schools, but also of the Shī'ah, the Zahiriyya, the Ibādiyya, and others. Numerous other figh encyclopedias, of more limited scope, have been published by private institutions and individuals.

The encyclopedia coverage of *fiqh* subjects and titles bears similarity to the *nazariyyāt* format in most cases, although the approach here differs in some ways from that of self-contained theoretical works of textbook orientation. To give an example, the article on *haqq* (right) in the *fiqh* encyclopedia of Kuwait is extensive, and in itself provides a condensed exposition of the theory of *haqq* in Islamic law. This can also be said of *wilāya* (guardianship), *nikāḥ* (matrimony), and so many other entries. Yet, it will be noted that the encyclopedia coverage of *fiqh* themes can be somewhat atomistic, in that the overall focus tends to be on individual topics, rather than a progressive and coherent development of particular areas of *fiqh*.

As a distinctive genre of *fiqh* literature, the legal maxims are likely to remain an influential area of the legacy of *fiqh*. This is perhaps borne out by the fact that the Turkish ulama who drafted the Ottoman *Mejelle*, in 1850 articles, decided to begin their impressive, and in many ways, original, work on the Islamic law of transactions with a selection of the most important of these maxims.

Conclusion

It is the abstract and synoptic character of legal maxims that gives them a degree of versatility and timelessness that is not hampered by burdensome detail. The inherent objectivity of legal maxims contributes to their continuity, which would account for the fact that there have been no significant additions to the early compilations of legal maxims. Having said this, one may agree that substantive reforms of the figh, or major developments of concern to uṣūl al-figh, may also, to some extent, have to be reflected in the legal maxims. On the subject of ijtihād, for example, the basic idea of statutory legislation whereby the elected assembly and parliament, rather than the *mujtahid*, or the general consensus $(ijm\bar{a}^{c})$ of mujtahids, has become the principal mode of law making in the present day Muslim countries. This development has not been contemplated with all its ramifications in the legal theory of usul al-figh. Now that the statute book has assumed a near-total control of legislation in the Muslim counties, some aspects of the theory of *ijtihād* may also need to be reviewed. For instance, *ijtihād* used to be seen as a preserve of the individual scholar and mujtahid, but the view has gained ground nowadays that collective ijtihād (ijtihād jamā'i) should now be recognised. Some of the legal maxims concerning ijtihād may consequently call for adjustment. The present writer has elsewhere discussed this in detail, but we may note here a legal maxim, for example, that "jtihād is not valid in the presence of naṣṣ (clear injunction)". Yet, there may be a nass that can hardly be implemented without substantial *ijtihād* concerning it. The issue one faces may be such that a nass, such as the ones concerning the punishments of adultery and theft, could either be marginalized or read side by side with ijtihād to ascertain how best they can be implemented. Without wishing to enter details, one can imagine that ijtihād may well operate in the presence of a nass so as to explain the nass in the light of new realities. Moreover, the ijtihād that is now undertaken may be guided, not so much by the specificity of nass, but by the overall purpose of that nass within the wider framework of the goals and purposes, or maqāṣid, of Sharī'a. This can also be said with regard to another maxim on itihad, which provides that "itihād may not be overruled by its equivalent". 44 Some of the legal maxims concerning evidence and proof, especially relating to circumstantial evidence, may also call for adjustment as a result of the availability of reliable methods of proof, such as photography and sound recording, DNA analysis and the like, which did not exist in earlier times. Yet, notwithstanding all of these developments, one still notes a remarkable degree of continuity in the substantive themes of legal maxims.

⁴⁴ See for further detail Mohammad Hashim Kamali. *Punishment in Islamic Law: An Enquiry into the Hudud Bill of Kelantan*, Kuala Lumpur, Ilmiah Publishers, 2000, 23ff.

Further related to our discussion on the prevalence of statutory legislation, it will be noted that statutory codes have now partially assumed the role that was earlier played by legal maxims. The language and style of statutory legislation show a striking similarity to that of legal maxims, as both tend to be concise, devoid of details, illustration, and ratiocination. What could earlier be said in a legal maxim can now be said in the text of a constitution, a civil code, or other statutes. Yet, it still remains to be said that legal maxims and statutes are not substitutes for one another. Legal maxims can play a supplementary role to substantiate legislation in the Sharī a-dominated fields, such as personal law and civil transactions.

The Sharī'a law of personal status continues to be the applied law of most Muslim countries, and the development, more recently, of Islamic banking and finance has also witnessed a revival of the Sharī'a law of muʿāmalāt. For purposes of better understanding and consolidation of important fiqh concepts with statutory laws, we may propose that legal maxims which relate to these and other applied areas of the Sharī'a should be clustered together and added as an appendix, introduction, or explanatory memorandum to the relevant statutes, and thus given a role in matters of interpretation and enforcement in the courts of justice. This will help to provide judges and lawyers with a convenient reference to relevant legal maxims—just as it can give the readers a convenient lead into important fiqh concepts. What is proposed here is also likely, in the long run, to contribute toward greater harmonisation and uniformity of the Sharī'a and civil law, itself an objective which is actively pursued in many Muslim countries, including Malaysia.⁴⁵

⁴⁵ For further details on harmonisation, see M.H. Kamali, "Harmonisation of Sharī'ah and Civil Law: The Framework and Modus Operandi," *HUM Law Journal* 11 (2003), 149-169; Idem, "Sharī'ah and Civil Law: Toward a Methodology of Harmonisation," *Islamic Law and Society* (forthcoming).

Abuse of rights, 203	Islam, 87
Access to information, 204-205, 207	légalisation, 85-88
Adoption	motifs, 86-87
Arabie ancienne, 148	par un tiers qualifié, 68
Coran, 153, 165	peine
definition, 151	femme enceinte, 79-80
droit algérien, 158-160	individu participant, 80-81
droit crétien, 148	tentative d'avortement, 83-85
droit hebraïque, 148	tiers qualifié, 82-83
droit muselman, 150-158, 164-167	spontané, 67-68
droit positif, 150, 152	thérapeutique, 87
droit romain, 147	viol, 87-88
droit tunisien, 163	volontaire, 65-66
pays européens, 149	voionitaire, oo oo
Affiliation, 149-150	Bahrein
Agreement for the Extradition of	separation of powers, 17
Offenders, 1942, 131, 140	Treaty of Jiddah, 131
Algérie	Basic Principles on the Independence of
assistance publique à l'enfance, 159-160	the Judiciary, 6-7
Code de la famille, 158-159	Basle Committee on Banking
Arab inter-trade, 215	Supervision, 98
Arbitrage, 230, 233, 235-237, 267-275	Battle of the Pyramids, 170
Aristotle, 99	
Assignment	Centralized authority, 15
common law, 178, 183	Commercial law, 169, 172-173, 184, 189
definition, 175	Communication
Islamic law, 178-183	concept, 214-215
notice requirement, 175, 183	economic development, 218-219,
Roman law, 177	222-223
Authorship, 197-198, 206	investments, 220
Avortement	transitional society, 222
auto-, 62-64	Conciliation, 230, 233
clandestin, 85-86	Contiguity principle, 137-138, 141-142,
coercitif, 67	145
définitions	Convention on the Law of the Sea
juridique, 60-61	islands, 127
linguistique, 57-58	marine boundaries, 126
médical, 58-60	
infraction d'	Conventions de la Haye, 1899, 1907,
	230
comportement criminel, 71-73	230 Copyright, 192, 195-196, 198-201,
comportement criminel, 71-73 connaissance, 77	230 Copyright, 192, 195-196, 198-201, 205-207
comportement criminel, 71-73 connaissance, 77 grossesse, 70-71	230 Copyright, 192, 195-196, 198-201, 205-207 Cour arabe de justice, 236-237, 242
comportement criminel, 71-73 connaissance, 77 grossesse, 70-71 intention, 77-79	230 Copyright, 192, 195-196, 198-201, 205-207 Cour arabe de justice, 236-237, 242 Custom, 188-189
comportement criminel, 71-73 connaissance, 77 grossesse, 70-71 intention, 77-79 relation de causalité, 74-76	230 Copyright, 192, 195-196, 198-201, 205-207 Cour arabe de justice, 236-237, 242 Custom, 188-189 Customs union, 103
comportement criminel, 71-73 connaissance, 77 grossesse, 70-71 intention, 77-79	230 Copyright, 192, 195-196, 198-201, 205-207 Cour arabe de justice, 236-237, 242 Custom, 188-189

Developing countries, 94, 107, 213-215, Electronic copyright, 200-201, 207 219, 222-223 Eritrea, 127 Différends internationaux, 230, 233 Exclusionary rights, 203 Expert evidence, 248 Dissemination of knowledge, 204-205 Droit international public, 229-230 Droit musulman Famille, 150-151, 166 Filiation, 152-154, 158-159, 165 droit successorial, 155-156 filiation adoptive, 153 Financial systems capital formation, 90-91 kafala, 152 nom patronymique, 154-155 legal infrastructure, 97-99 Droits fondamentaux, 255 societal commitment, 98 technical assistance, 98 Force, emploi de la, 228-231 Eastern Greenland case, 140-141 Economic development Forces arabes de sécurité, 241 communication, 214-215, 218-223 Foreign investment, 96, 99, 105 market economy, 92, 94-96 Foreign law, 248 rule-based legal infrastructure, 92, France 97-99 avortement rule of law, 92, 99-101 auto-, 63-64 Economic liberalization, 211, 219 code pénal, 84 Economic policies, 92 definition, 60-61 cession, 175 Egypt Civil Code, 185, 277-281 Commercial Code, 173 foreign debts, 212 Conseil d'Etat, 25 judicial system, 278, 283 droits économiques Maljis al-Dalwa, 25 Conseil Constitutionnel, 256-260 privatization, 211-212, 257 droit de propriété, 258-259 product liability intérêt général, 259-260 Commercial Code, 281-282 liberté du commerce, 256-257 contractual liability, 278, 280 droits sociaux damages, 279-280 Conseil Constitutionnel, 263 droit à la sécurité sociale, 264-265 foreign manufacturers, 283 negotiated settlements, 283 liberté syndicale, 262-263 time bars, 282 principe de participation, 262 tort liabilty, 279, 280 Fraud, 245, 249 wrongful death, 281 Frontieres, 236 Egypte Garuh island droits économiques Cour Constitutionnelle, 257-258 Agreement for the Extradition of liberté du commerce, 256-257 Offenders, 1942, 131, 140 Anglo-Turkish Agreement, 1913, propriété privée, 258 propriété publique, 258 129-130, 132, 139, 143-144 droits sociaux concessions, 133-134, 140, 145 Cour Constitutionnelle, 261-262, neutral zone, 128, 130-131, 133-134, 137, 140 264-265 droit à la sécurité sociale, 264-265 sovereignty, 128, 130 droit au travail, 260-261 Uqair Convention, 1922, 130, 137 droit de grève, 263-264 General Agreement on Tariffs and liberté syndicale, 262 Trade (GATT), 214-215 principe de participation, 262 Geneva Protocol on Arbitration nationalisation, 258 Clauses, 1923, 270 Globalisation, 169-170, 172, 174, 189, patrimoine archéologique, 257 privatization, 211-212, 257 213-214 Great Britain, 129-131, 136-138, 143-144 secteur public, 256, 262

```
Gulf Common Market, 104
                                              gharar, 112
Gulf Cooperation Council (G.C.C.)
                                              interest, 112
  Charter, 103
                                              kafala, 150
                                              knowledge, 204-205
  Economic Agreement, 103-105
                                              parenté, 153-154, 165-166
 Joint Agricultural Policy, 104
 Long-Term Comprehensive
                                              private rights, 192
                                              property rights, 203-204
    Development Strategy, 104-105
  Unified Industrial Development
                                            Islamic banks
                                              interest, 290
    Strategy, 104
                                              Morabaha agreement
Hanafi School
                                                 governing law, 288-290
  agency, 181-183
                                                 Sharia principles, 287-288, 290-291
  hawala, 179-181
                                            Islamic countries, 16-17
 natural resources, 201-202
                                            Islamic law
Hanish islands, 127
                                              hawala
Hawala, 175, 178-183, 187
                                                muqayadda, 181
Head of the state, 15
                                                 mutlaqa, 180-181
Human rights, 5-7
                                              individual rights, 205
                                              trade relations, 173-174
Industrialisation, 171
                                              transfer of rights, 178-183, 188
Intellectual property, 195-196, 198, 202,
                                              wrongful death, 281
  204, 206
                                            Islamic political theory, 15
International Accounting Standards
                                            Islamic state, 14-15
  Board, 98
                                            Island of Palma case, 141
International Association of Insurance
  Supervisors, 98
                                           Judges
International Court of Justice, 129, 131,
                                              removal of, 15-16
  136, 140-141
                                              rule of law, 5
International economic institutions, 92, 97
                                            Judicial cooperation, 270
International economic relations, 210-211
                                            Judicial independence
International Monetary Fund, 214,
                                              constitutional recognition, 7
  222-223
                                              definition, 7
International Organisation of Securities
                                              exclusive authority, 7
  Commissions, 98
                                              impartiality, 7
International trade, 105, 214-215,
                                              individual
  220-221
                                                 compensation, 9
Irak
                                                 immunity, 9
 arbitration
                                                tenure, 9
    agreement, 268
                                              institutional
    annulment, 273
                                                 administration, 8
    arbitrators, 269-270
                                                judicial review, 8
    award, 272
                                                 separation of powers, 8, 14
    clause, 268-269
                                              principle, 5-7
    courts, 270, 272-274
                                              Sharia, 14-16, 51
    enforcement, 272
                                              society, 10
    foreign law, 271
    procedural rules, 271
                                            Kafala, 150, 152, 157-158, 167-168
  foreign contractors, 267, 274
                                            Koweït
 international arbitration, 274
                                              avortement
  occupation, 267
                                                 auto-, 62
                                                 consentement, 67
  US Civil Administration, 267, 275
                                                 infraction d', 71-72, 74-75, 79
Islam
  droit de la famille, 151
                                                 par un tiers, 65
                                                 peine, 79-82, 84
 financing, 112
```

thérapeutique, 87 règlement pacifique des differends, 230-231, 233 tiers qualifié, 68 viol, 87-88 Natural rights theories, 193 Kuwait Nom patronymique, 154-155 Civil Code, 185 concessions, 133-134, 145 Ottoman Empire Exclusive Agreement, 1899, 138 Anglo-Turkish Agreement, 1913, Great Britain, 129-130, 136-138, 129-130, 137 143-144 Islamic law, 109 marine boundaries, 125-126 judicial organisation, 110 separation of power, 17 Tanzimat, 109 territorial sovereignty, 128, 130-131, 133-134, 142 Pacte Briand-Kellog, 1928, 230 Panarabisme, 227 Legal pluralism, 101-103, 107-108 Plateau continental, 236 Press, 217 Ligue des Etats Arabes cour arabe de justice, 236-237, 242 Privatization, 211-212, 219-220 création, 228 Property rights allocation of, 197-198 litiges inter-arabes, 238-240 objet, 229 expansion of, 200 paix regionale, 233 ideas, 196-197, 202 règlement pacifique des litiges natural resources, 193, 201-202 arbitrage, 235-237 Protocole d'Alexandrie, 228 bons offices, 240-241 Public administrative institutions, 101 commission d'enquête, 240 Public domain, 195, 197, 200 conseil, 231-232, 234-235 Public opinion, 216-217 Public ownership, 212 droit international public, 229-230 médiation, 234-235 Public sector, 94 secretaire général, 241 siège, 237 Qatar, 131 Traité de défense commune et de cooperation économique, 232-233 Regional economic alliances, 214, 223 Relative fault, 252 Maliki School Restitution, 243-254 hawala, 179-180 Rome Convention on the Law Marine boundaries, 125-126 Applicable to Contractual Market economy Obligations, 288 deregulation, 96 Rule of law, 5, 99-101 economic liberalisation, 96 Saudi Arabia financial system, 97 government, 95 administrative committees legal pluralism, 101-103 decisions, 34-37, 52 jurisdiction, 23, 30, 32, 34 private enterprise, 95-96 rule of law, 99 members, 41-42, 44, 46, 48-49 Mass media, 217-218, 221-223 society, 39 Médiation, 233-235 arbitration, 121 bank shares, 31-32 Minquiers and Ecrehos case, 139 Money market, 212 Banking Control Law, 113 Morabaha agreements, 287-291 Basic Law, 12-13, 18-20, 28, 39, 45, 50 Nations Unies Board of Grievances Conseil de sécurité, 234 Administrative Affairs Committee, emploi de la force, 230 40-42, 44

budget, 50 judicial review, 50-51 judges, 28, 41-49 jurisdiction, 22-23, 111, 115 judicial review, 35-36, 50 Minister of Justice, 40, 47, 49 President, 29, 40-41, 47 summary courts, 22 Rules of Pleadings, 29 Supreme Judicial Council, 21, Statute, 25-27, 29, 39-40 40-44, 47, 54 Capital Market Law, 118-120 Shura Council, 18-19, 51 commercial banks, 113-114 Specialised Credit Institutions, 117 Commercial Court Law, 33 Sunnah, 13 Committee for Settlement of Banking ulama, 23-24 Issues, 39, 114-116 Secularism, 151 Committee for the Resolution of Separation of powers, 8, 14, 16-18, 51 Securities Disputes, 119-120 Sharia concession, 133-134 judicial independence, 14-16, 51 Constitution, 13, 111 Morabaha contract, 287-291 constitutional review, 50 separation of power, 16-17 Council of Ministers, 18-19, 24, 51, Social change, 222 114-115 Somalie debt securities, 118-119 Ligue des Etats Arabes, 240 equity securities, 118-119 Sources of international law, 129, 131, 136, 140, 144-145 foreign investment, 106 Governors Statute, 20 Souveraineté, 232 Gulf Cooperation Council, 103, 105 State succession, 137 insurance companies, 120-122 interest, 113-114 Tanzil, 150 Islamic Jurisprudence Assembly, 32 Tanzimat, 109, 173 Judiciary Statute, 22, 39-42, Teritorial disputes, 126 111-112 Trade, 169, 173-174 King, 13-14, 18-19, 23, 45-46, 51 Treaty of Jiddah, 130-131, 144 Law on Supervision of Cooperative Treaty of Karlowitz, 170 Insurance Companies, 120-122 Tunisie, 163 legal education, 53 marine boundaries, 125-126 Umm Al-Maradim see Garuh island market economy, 92-93, 106-107 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, 271 Ministry of Commerce, 121 United Arab Emirates Monetary Agency Charter, 112-113 Civil Code, 185-186, 189, 281 neutral zone, 128, 131, 133-134, 145 hawala, 184 Islamic law, 186, 281 Ottoman laws, 23, 109-110 Quran, 13 transfer of rights, 185-186 Saudi Securities Exchange, 118 wrongful death, 281 United Nations Securities and Exchange Commission, judicial independence, 6-7 separation of powers, 18-19 United States Seventh Development Plan, 106 islands, 142 judicial independence Sharia, 13-14, 18-20, 31, 47, 51, 111-112, 114, 117, 120-122 Constitution, 10-11 Sharia courts federal courts, 11 banking disputes, 114 federal judges, 42 budget, 49 impeachment, 11 court of cassation, 22 Supreme Court, 10-11 courts of first instance, 22 product liability class action, 277 enacted laws, 23-24, 28, 53 judges, 41-49, 112 punitive damages, 277, 283

108

INDEX TO VOLUME 19

Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 6 Unjust enrichment, 250-251

World Bank, 214 World Trade Organization (WTO), 105, 214-215 Yemen
Hanish islands, 127
Ligue des Etats Arabes
bons offices, 241
commission d'enquête, 240